**UNDP Funding Windows**

**Guidance on Results Reporting (2021)**

One of the key objectives of the Funding Windows (FWs) is to leverage greater impact for UNDP projects on the ground. Thus, annual reporting on projects supported by FW allocations, aims to capture how the contributions had a catalytic effect in terms of achieving transformative results, expanding partnerships, attracting other donors/resources, scaling up initiatives, etc. Reports should be uploaded in English into the [online FWs platform](https://intranet-apps.undp.org/UNDP.HQ.Apps.FundingWindow/ResultsReporting/Home), following the reporting instructions, to be shared shortly.

**2021 Annual Reporting** (Draft Word reports due by Monday 17 January 2022)

To facilitate results reporting, project outputs funded through the FWs must: a) be properly linked to the Strategic Plan relevant outputs in Atlas; and b) be reported against the project output indicator aligned with the relevant SP output indicator. The online reporting tool will guide you in linking the following information:

**Country Office: Sudan**

**Project/Output ID: 00107381**

**Project Title: Partnering Against Violent Extremism (PAVE)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Quantitative Report** | | | | |
| Relevant SP output: 3.2.1. National capacities strengthened for reintegration, reconciliation, peaceful management of conflict and prevention of violent extremism in response to national policies and priorities | | | | |
|  | Relevant SP output indicator | Project  output | Project output indicator | Achievements against indicators (quantitative only) |
|  |  | **Output 1: Increased communities’ socio-economic resilience and green recovery leading to a reduced risk of being recruitment by VEG and forced to emigration** | Activity 1.1: Conduct Vocational trainings in pre-identified areas   1. *# of participants* 2. *% of participants demonstrating satisfaction* 3. *#of participants employed 6 months after training*   Activity. 1. 2  Small Business Support provided   1. *#of small business projects* 2. *%of women beneficiaries starting own business*   Activity 1.3  Provision of green livelihoods and job creation opportunities  *# of seasonal/temporary or long-term jobs created*  *# of green activities (target at least 1 activity per community)*  Activity 1.4. Socio-economic assessment on women  *Target: 1 socioeconomic assessment* | Activity 1.1) Conduct Vocational trainings in pre-identified areas   * 542 * 75% * 125 employed after six- month vocational training   Activity 1.2) Small Business Support provided   * 162 small business projects * 68% of women beneficiaries starting their own projects   Activity 1.3 Provision of green livelihoods and job creation opportunities   * 433 seasonal jobs created * 2 agricultural interventions in Alhagana, Kassala state and Algol community in Gedaref state   Activity 1.4   * 2 assessments conducted in Gedaref and Kassala state |
|  |  | **Output2: Shifting PVE relevant attitudes in the light of political transition, local conflict and socio-economic crisis exacerbated by Covid-19 analyzed and addressed**  *A minimum of 50% target audience women*  **Gender marker: 3** | Activity 2.1: Awareness raising, sensitization, social cohesion events  *Target: at least 3 events per community*  *# of events per community*  *# of persons exposed/participating in awareness raising campaigns*  *% of persons exposed to messaging demonstrating increased understanding of the topic*  Activity 2.2. Movie screening sessions and round table discussions  # of screenings  # of persons attending the screenings  Target: at least 2 screenings per community | Activity 2.1: : Awareness raising, sensitization, social cohesion events   * 6 events (3 in each community) * 634 participated in awareness raising campaigns * 58%   Activity 2.2. Movie screening sessions and round table discussions   * 6 screenings * 2192 people attended the screenings (659F) |
|  |  | **Output 3 Grassroot innovative and gender-based approach to prevention at the community level designed and tested**  *Ensure AI is programmed to capture women`s attitudes and opinions :50% target women*  **Gender marker: 3** | Activity 3.1. Conduct social media sentiment and AI study  Target: 1 study conducted  # of individuals data analyzed (no target set- yet to be discussed according to different methodologies)  Activity 3.2. Focus group discussions with women`s groups for scoping of social media research and economic assessments  # of focus group discussions  # of participants  Target: at least 2 per community | Activity 3.1. Conduct social media sentiment and AI study   * 1 study ongoing (to be completed in March 2022) * So far 10 individuals’ data analyzed   Activity 3.2. Focus group discussions with women`s groups for scoping of social media research and economic assessments   * 2 women focus group discussions, one in each community |

|  |
| --- |
| **Narrative Report** |
| 1. **RESULT:** Please describe the key Output[[1]](#footnote-2) results achieved with Funding Window (FWs) resources in 2021. *Provide measurable results related to the critical objectives of the project. Describe the positive impact on target beneficiaries with disaggregated data.*   (400 words, mandatory]  In the context of Sudan, it was evidenced that socio-economic issues are key for radicalization processes. Poverty and under-development create grievances that can easily be exploited by extremist groups, especially when such factors are combined with poor governance and the absence of even basic service delivery. Youth are increasingly vulnerable because of low standards of education, which are failing to equip them for the competitive job market and making them easy targets for radicalization processes. With the FW support, the PAVE programme targeted community-based intervention in Kassala and Gedaref states, comprising both female & male genders. The project is inclusive of various components, such as: capacity development; vocational trainings and livelihood supports such as small business, vocational training and agricultural activities accomplished in the 2 states. A total of 3113 beneficiaries were reached out of who 1104 are females. |
| 1. **RESULT**: Please describe the key outcome level results achieved with FWs resources in 2021. *Explain how the project results contributed to higher level results (i.e. CPD outcomes).*   (400 words, mandatory]  The project seeks to enhance resilience to radicalization and prevent violent extremism by contributing to the stability and resilience of at-risk youth and citizens with potential to be radicalized and join violent extremist movements. It aims to achieve this through livelihood support to youth who have potential of joining extremism; sensitization campaign by using a film and public information materials; trainings of stakeholders from different states on the risks of extremism and aims to lower the risk of youth being radicalized. |
| 1. **DEMONSTRATED CHANGE: Please tick the applicable change and substantiate.**   [when COs tick a box, explanation is required]  Enhanced digitization [please explain]  Innovative ways of working [AI intervention]  Mobilized additional resources [please explain]  Improved or initiated policy frameworks [please explain]  Strengthened capacities (Alternative livelihood interventions are aimed to improve capacity and enhance resilience of youth at risk of being radicalized)  More responsive, inclusive, participatory and representative decision making [please explain]  Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will [please explain]  Strengthened UNDP’s integrator role [please explain]  Collaborate with UN Agencies [please explain] |
| 1. **LNOB:** Select all beneficiaries targeted with the FW resources?   [mandatory]  Unemployed persons  Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)  Persons with disabilities  Women  Youth  Sexual and gender orientation  People living in vulnerable areas  Persons affected by disasters  Persons affected by armed conflicts and violence  Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants  People living in multi-dimensional poverty  People living under the national poverty line |
| 1. **GENDER**: For GEN1 and GEN2 projects, describe how the initiative supported women’s empowerment and gender equality. *Provide information and analysis on how the project ensured rights of women, both as beneficiaries and participants, were improved by the interventions.*   *(GEN3 projects will have addressed this aspect in Questions 1 and 2. If you reporting on a GEN3 project, mention ‘GEN3 project’ below).*  (200 words, mandatory]  The PAVE programme is classified as GEN2 and aligned with UNDP gender equality. The gender inequalities and discriminatory attitudes and practices that hold women back, must be confronted and eliminated, to leave no one behind. In these efforts, Livelihood interventions among other interventions targeting women supports partners to eliminate gender inequalities through targeted, gender-focused interventions such as soap making and food processing activities. By removing structural barriers to gender equality and promoting women’s participation in the decisions that affect their lives, success in eradicating poverty and inequality, building resilience to disasters, climate change and conflict, and driving sustainable development can be achieved. |
| 1. **HUMAN RIGHTS AND PEACEBUILDING**: Describe how the project supported human rights and peacebuilding, both for beneficiaries and in the development context.   (200 words, mandatory]  Within Human Rights (International and customary Law) the PAVE programme conducted a capacity building training for 115 out of who 60 are female. Participants include law enforcement officers, civil society and senior prison staff to recognize the human rights of VE perpetrators/offenders. The training was aimed to enhance their capacity to manage, rehabilitate, and reintegrate inmates, especially the violent extremism prisoners, in line with international human rights standards such as: Mandela principles, Rome memorandum, which have been linked to field practices and related to violent extremism risk assessment. |
| 1. **CHALLENGES & LESSONS LEARNED**: Describe one challenge faced in delivering the planned activities and reaching the intended beneficiaries. What were the lessons learned?   (200 words, mandatory]  The occurrence of fundamental political changes caused the reformation of relevant national institutions and civil society organizations which resulted in delay of some of the planned activities and required extra efforts to put all relevant parties on the same page. |
| 1. **CATALYTIC VALUE OF THE FWs**: [when COs tick a box, explanation is required]  * Please tick the applicable boxes under the following categories and substantiate for each:   **Strategic positioning**:  The FW resources have enabled the CO to respond strategically to a request from the government, which would not have been possible otherwise. [please explain]  The FW’s flexible resources have enabled the CO to invest in thematic areas otherwise under-prioritized by traditional donors. [traditional donors for PAVE programme were more focused on national issues such as development of national PVE strategy or capacity development of national actors. The FW allowed us to target communities where radicalization was being alerted and address its root causes.]  **Speed**:  The FW resources were allocated swiftly enough that they allowed to quickly position UNDP as a critical actor, on an emerging/complex issue [please explain]  **Catalytic investment**:  The FWs have allowed the CO to leverage additional resources and scale up an initiative. [please explain]  **Partnerships**:  The FW investment enabled the CO to form new partnerships in 2020 (national and sub-national government entities, UN agencies, International Financial institutions (IFIs), private sector, NGOs, innovative partnerships, other.) [please explain]  **Visibility**:  The FWs’ investment enabled the CO to create additional visibility for UNDP. [please explain]  **Humanitarian, development and peace nexus**:  The FWs’ investment enabled the CO to engage with humanitarian, development and peace actors. [please explain] |
| 1. **RISK MANAGEMENT**: Throughout the project life cycle, how were social, environmental and other risks identified and managed by the project?   (200 words, mandatory]  Risks are identified by project management and actions are taken to manage risk. The risk log is actively maintained to keep track of identified risks and actions taken. |
| 1. Finally, let us know how the Funding Windows mechanism can be improved.   (optional: 100 words) |

Please provide any additional reports, evidence or information to [fundingwindows@undp.org](mailto:fundingwindows@undp.org).

**As reference, take a look at our** [**2020 Annual Report**](https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2021-09/Funding%20windows%20-%202020%20Annual%20Report.pdf)**.**

1. Outputs are defined as the first level of change in development context which UNDP takes full accountability for. It can be demonstrated change in capacities; knowledge and policy context. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)